

U.S. Constitution Obsolete?



[Freedumb In America Today](#)

Dear Friends,

Even for those who do not think that they could be qualified to enter into a discernment regarding the "qualifications" of a Supreme Court Justice – certainly when a member of the Court (in this case, Supreme Court Justice, Ruth Bader Ginsburg) has stated that she believe that the U.S. Constitution is outdated and obsolete!

Can one suppose that an individual who expresses that they believe that the Constitution is outdated and obsolete might not be capable of fulfilling their oath to: "Uphold it?"

As I am caused to ponder the ever-growing number of breaches within the highest-levels of our nation's political and judicial realms – I must confess – that with each "new" revelation – I am simply appalled at how much and how quickly these "**Enemies of God and America**" have been able to traverse the ground they and that they have and captured so much of that which they have!

The saddest commentary? That **all** of this success for the legions of Evil could **NOT** have happened – if not for the purposed ignorance and apathetic behavior of those who would otherwise be defined in America as the: ..."**Good People!**"

Robert

American Vision News.com

Supreme Court Justice openly disses U.S. Constitution before whole world

by Joel McDurmon on Feb 7, 2012

The Daily Caller [reports](#) how U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader-Ginsburg has downplayed the U.S. Constitution as outdated and excelled by foreign documents. The Justice was recently interviewed concerning the emerging socio-political revolution in Egypt:

“I would not look to the U.S. Constitution if I were drafting a constitution in the year 2012,” Ginsburg said in [the interview](#), which aired on Jan. 30 on Al-Hayat TV. . . .

[S]he argued that the United States has the “oldest written constitution still in force in the world,” so instead “you should certainly be aided by all the constitution-writing that has gone on since the end of World War II.”

“I might look at the constitution of South Africa,” Ginsburg said. “That was a deliberate attempt to have a fundamental instrument of government that embraced basic human rights, had an independent judiciary.” . . .

“Much more recent than the U.S. Constitution, Canada has a Charter of Rights and Freedoms,” Ginsburg said. “It dates from 1982. You would almost certainly look at the European Convention on Human Rights.”

“Yes,” she concluded, “why not take advantage of what there is elsewhere in the world?”

Ginsberg got one thing right, however. She said, “A Constitution . . . will mean nothing unless the people are yearning for liberty and freedom.” Right! We just wish our officials taught that back home, too, and believed it themselves.

In fact, having a Supreme Court Justice who says, “I would not look at the U.S. Constitution . . .” makes one wonder how often she actually *does* look at it.



[Freedumb In America Today](#)